

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:23-cr-20701

HONORABLE STEPHEN J. MURPHY, III

v.

CONRAD ROCKENHAUS,

Defendant.

_____ /

ORDER STRIKING FILINGS [44, 45, 46]

Defendant moved for compassionate release,¹ and filed two additional documents concurrently. ECF Nos. 44, 45, 46. The motion contains personal information of a non-party prohibited by Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 49.1(a). *See* ECF No. 44, PageID.224.² Even though Defendant indicated he wished to file the motions under seal, Local Rule 5.3(a)(1) provides that “[a] separate notice of filing under seal must be filed before filing an item under seal.” *See also* E.D. Mich. LCrR 1.1 (noting that in addition to the local criminal rules, “the general local rules apply to criminal actions as provided in LR 1.1(c)”). Defendant did not file such a document.

¹ Defendant appealed his sentence, and the case is pending before the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals. *See United States v. Rockenhaus*, No. 25-1974 (6th Cir. 2025). The Court doubts that it has jurisdiction to reduce Defendant’s sentence because it is divested of jurisdiction pending Defendant’s appeal. *See United States v. Gallion*, 534 F. App’x. 303, 310 (6th Cir. 2013); *United States v. Walls*, 455 F. Supp. 3d 461, 464 (E.D. Mich. Apr. 2020) (refusing to consider motion for compassionate because an appeal was pending).

² If Defendant files a fresh compassionate release motion, he should include only the city and state of a home address—not the number of the home. *See* Fed. R. Crim. P. 49.1(a)(5).

It is axiomatic that the Court has inherent authority to control its docket and strike non-compliant filings. *See e.g., United States v. Gorski*, No. 20-20018-02, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 214074, at *2 (D. Kan. Dec. 1, 2023) (noting that the Court had “inherent authority to manage its docket, including the authority to strike filings”). The Court will therefore strike Defendant’s motion and its related filings.

WHEREFORE, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court is directed to **STRIKE** Defendant’s motion [44] and its attendant documents [45, 46].

SO ORDERED.

s/ Stephen J. Murphy, III
STEPHEN J. MURPHY, III
United States District Judge

Dated: December 18, 2025

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon the parties and/or counsel of record on December 18, 2025, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

s/ R. Loury
Case Manager